	ed States Patent	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov		
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,549	05/31/2001	Jun Miyokawa	205473US8	7563
22850 7590 01/21/2003 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			LOUIE, WAI SING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•

.

•

	U	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/867,549	MIYOKAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Wai-Sing Louie	2814	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	ppears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri- e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat eply received by the Office later than three months after the maid d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of th od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u>9 November 2002</u> .		
2a)🖂	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) <u></u> Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-61 is/are pending in t	he application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 19-61</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)	Fhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ ac	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority L	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
а) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has	been received.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) 🗌 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

....

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

Claims 1-61 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same

invention as that of claims 1-62 of copending Application No. 09/867,449. This is a provisional

double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter

which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled

in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the

claimed invention.

• In claim 53, the disclosed specification do not disclose a "fifth welding position".

This is new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 9-14, 16, 19-22, 27-32, 34, 36-40, 45, 47-49, 56, and 58 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Janssen et al. (US 5,570,444).

With regard to claims 1, 19, and 37, Janssen et al. disclose an optical assembly (col. 2,

line 66 to col. 4, line 62 and fig. 3) comprising:

- A laser diode 3 having an active layer;
- An optical system including an optical fiber 4 and a lens 5, the optical system being configured to receive and transmit a beam emitted from the laser diode 1 through the lens to the optical fiber along an optical axis (fig. 3);
- A holder 6 configured to receive a portion of the optical system;
- A base 9 having a holder mounting member 6 and a fastening member 8, the holder 6 being mounted to the sliding (fastening) member 8 at a first joint (weld) position 11, the fastening member 8 being mounted to the holder mounting member 6 at a second joint (weld) position 12, where the first and second joint positions are coplanar with the active layer (fig. 3);
- A bottom plate 2 configured to support the base 9, where the first and second joint (weld) positions are located at substantially a same distance from the bottom

٠,

plate, and where the first joint position and second joint position are coplanar along a plane extending through a longitudinal axis of the optical fiber.

With regard to claims 2, 20, 38, and 47, Janssen et al. disclose the holder 6 is configured to receive a portion of the optical fiber 4 (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 3, 21, 39, and 48, Janssen et al. disclose the lens is a discrete lens supported by the holder-mounting member 6 (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 4, 22, 40, and 49, Janssen et al. disclose the discrete lens is mounted within the holder 6 (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 9, 27, and 45, Janssen et al. disclose:

- A plurality of first joint positions 11 (fig. 3);
- A plurality of second joint positions 12 (fig. 3);
- The plurality of first joint positions and the plurality of second joint position are coplanar (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 10 and 28, Janssen et al. disclose the plurality of first joint positions 11 include at least one joint position on the first side of the holder 6 and at least one joint position on a second side of the holder 6 opposite the first side (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 11 and 29, Janssen et al. disclose the plurality of second joint positions 12 include at least one joint position on the first side of the sliding member 8 and at least one joint position on a second side of the sliding member 8 opposite the first side (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 12-13 and 30-31, Janssen et al. disclose the plurality of second joint positions 12 are symmetrically oriented on opposing sides of the holder 6 about the optical axis and the sliding member 8 (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 14 and 32, Janssen et al. disclose the first and second joint positions for mounting the holder and the sliding member are laser welding (col. 4, lines 3-12).

With regard to claims 16 and 34, Janssen et al. disclose the sliding (fastening) member 8 is coupled to the holder 6 at a location adjacent the lens of the optical assembly (fig. 3).

With regard to claim 36, Janssen et al. disclose the first and second joint positions are coplanar along a plane extending through a longitudinal axis of the optical fiber (fig. 3).

With regard to claim 56, Janssen et al. disclose:

- A base 2 includes a laser diode 3, mounting member 1 configured to mount the laser diode at a laser mounting region;
- The fastening means 1 is disposed mounting laser 1 the laser diode mounting region (fig. 3)
- The fastening means mounting member 1 projects in the longitudinal direction of the optical fiber mounting side of the laser diode-mounting member 1 (fig. 3).

With regard to claim 58, Janssen et al. disclose the lens formed on the tip end of the

optical fiber and is arranged opposite a light-emitting facet of the laser diode 3 (fig. 3).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 23, 41, and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janssen et al. (US 5,570,444) in view of Miki et al. (US 6,094,515).

With regard to claims 5, 23, 41, and 50, Janssen et al. disclose the assembly comprises of one lens. However, Miki et al. disclose an optical module having two lenses 16 and 26 (fig. 3). Miki et al. teach lens 26 is a condenser lens and lens 16 is a collimator lens to confine the light into the optical fiber (Miki col. 7, lines 22-33). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Janssen's device with the teaching of Miki et al. to provide a second lens in order to confine the light into the optical fiber.

Janssen et al. do not disclose a package including the bottom plate, which configures to support the second lens and the optical fiber. However, Miki's device includes a housing 2 and a bottom wall 6 configured to support the second lens and the optical fiber (Miki col. 4, lines 8-13 and fig. 2). Miki et al. teach the housing is for sealing the optical module (Miki col. 4, lines 9-11). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify Janssen's device with the teaching of Miki et al. to provide a housing so that the optical module would be sealed and be protected.

Claims 6-8, 17, 24-26, 35, 42-44, 51-55, and 60-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janssen et al. (US 5,570,444) in view of Yoshino (US 5,924,290).

With regard to claims 6, 24, 42, and 51, Janssen et al. do not disclose an optical isolator. However, Yoshino discloses an optical assembly including an optical isolator 8 (fig. 2). Yoshino

teaches the optical isolator 8 serves to prevent reflected light of the output light beam from entering the optical fiber (Yoshino col. 6, lines 17-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify Janssen's device with the teaching of Yoshino to one with ordinary skill in the art to provide an optical isolator in order to prevent the reflected light from entering the optical fiber. Janssen et al. disclose the optical assembly is configured to receive and transmit the beam emitted from the laser diode through the lens and the isolator to the optical fiber along an optical axis (fig. 3).

With regard to claims 7-8, 25-26, 43-44, and 52-53, Janssen et al. do not disclose the optical isolator. However, Janssen et al., modified by Yoshino in claim 6 above, would have the optical isolator. Yoshino teaches the optical isolator serves to prevent reflected light of the output light beam from entering optical fiber (Yoshino col. 6, lines 17-19). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modified Janssen's device with the teaching of Yoshino to provide the optical isolator in order to prevent reflected light entering the optical fiber. Janssen et al. disclose a second welding position by welding the fastening means 8 to the holder 6.

Janssen et al. disclose the claimed invention except for the second fastening means. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the second fastening means with a third welding position, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co. 193 USPQ 8.* The third joint position would be located on the first surface of the optical isolator.

Janssen et al. disclose a deformable saddle 10 having a fourth joint position 16 located on the second surface of the optical isolator (col. 4, lines 16-30). The first, second, third, and fourth joint positions would be located coplanar along a plane extending through a longitudinal axis of the optical fiber at substantially a same distance perpendicular to the bottom plate (fig. 2).

With regard to claims 17, 35, and 54, Janssen et al. do not disclose a thermo module coupling the base to the bottom plate. However, Yoshino discloses an optical assembly including a peltier unit 6, which comprises a first plate 6b attached to a portion of the module's base 5a, a peltier element 6a attached to the first plate 6b, and a second plate 6c attached to the peltier element 6a and the first plate 6b (Yoshino col. 5, lines 42-48 and fig. 2). Janssen et al. modified by Yoshino would have a package 5, which configures to accommodate and the laser diode, the optical module, and the peltier unit. The package includes the bottom plate 5a (Yoshino fig. 2).

With regard to claim 55, Janssen et al., modified by Yoshino in claim 1 above, would disclose a base (carrier) 3 projects in a longitudinal direction of the optical fiber 10 from an end portion on an optical fiber mounting side of the thermal (peltier) module 6 (Yoshino fig. 2).

With regard to claims 60 and 61, Janssen et al. do not disclose the sliding (fastening) member 8 is formed of a Fe-Ni-Co alloy. However, Yoshino teaches the Fe-Ni-Co alloy is known as "kovar", which has a low thermal expansion coefficient similar to ceramic (Yoshino col. 3, lines 6-14). Therefore, it is obvious to form the fastening member with "kovar". It is because the device is welded together and the low thermal expansion will minimize the thermal stress.

Claims 15, 33, 46, 57, and 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Janssen et al. (US 5,570,444).

With regard to claims 15 and 33, Janssen et al. do not disclose the recessed portions on the holder-mounting member. However, Jansen et al. provide block 9 as structural support to the sliding member 8. Two blocks together would form a recess between blocks. Therefore, it is obvious there is a recess between two blocks (fig. 3).

With regard to claim 46, in addition to the limitations disclosed in claims 1, 8, 19, and 37, Janssen et al. also disclose:

- Janssen et al. do not disclose the fastening means (sliding member) for fixing the
 portion of the optical system by supporting and clamping the holder 6 on the
 sides. However, one skilled in the art would choose clamping as an alternative to
 laser welding. This is merely a design choice;
- A bottom plate 2 configured to support the base 9, the sliding member 8, the holder 6, the optical assembly, and the laser diode 3.

With regard to claim 57, Janssen et al do not disclose the laser diode-mounting member 1 has a reinforcement portion, which has a lower surface that is out contact with the peltier unit. However, one skilled in the art could arrange the laser diode-mounting member to meet need of the design. This is merely a design choice.

With regard to claim 59, Janssen et al. do not disclose the lens 5 is an anamorphic lens. However, one skilled in the art would choose a lens to meet the match the output of the laser diode and the configuration of the fiber. Therefore, it is obvious to choose an anamorphic lens if needed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/19/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- Applicant argues that Janssen et al. do not disclose the first and second joint positions are located coplanar along a planr extending through a longitudinal axis of an optical fiber. Applicant cites fig. 1 of Janssen et al. to show the centerline of optical fiber. However, fig. 1 of Janssen et al. shows the wrong end of the optical fiber and the lens obscures the view. Fig. 2 shows the end view of the slotted rod and shows the optical fiber is in the center of the slotted rod. Welds 11, 12, and 16 appear on the center of the rod. Therefore, Janssen et al. meet the claim limitation of amended claim 1.
- Applicant argues that Janssen et al. do not disclose the first and second joint positions are coplanar with the active layer of the diode as claimed in claim 19. Again, applicant cites fig. 1 of Janssen et al. to show the centerline of the optical fiber. However, fig. 1 is drawn in a 3-dimension view and the figure is distorted. Fig. 1 does not show where is the active layer and the argument is not conclusive. Janssen et al. disclose the lens optical fiber is required to be accurately aligned with respect to the laser, where the alignment is required to be maintained with

minimal variation (col. 3, lines 29-54). The blocks and slide members is extended at right angle to the axial direction of the optical fiber and the slide members are welded to the slotted rod to insure the slotted rod is secured (col. 4, lines 1-30). Therefore, Janssen et al. meet the limitations of claim 19.

- Applicant argues that Janssen et al. do not disclose or suggest a holder is mounted to the fastening member at a plurality of first joint positions. However, Janssen et al. disclose two first joint positions 12 on the slotted rod (fig. 3). The argument is moot.
- Applicant argues that Janssen et al. do not disclose or suggest a third welding position to joint the holder and fastening means as disclosed in claim 46.
 However, claim 8 discloses the third welding position, which is merely a duplication of essential working parts. Therefore, Janssen et al. is modified to have a second set of fastening means with the third weld.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wai-Sing Louie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0474. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (703) 308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Wael Libra

HNOLOGY CENTER 2000

January 15, 2003